

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.sciencedirect.com)

Linear Algebra and its Applications

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/laa

Normal operators and inequalities in norm ideals

Cristian Conde*

Instituto de Ciencias, Universidad Nacional de Gral. Sarmiento, J.M. Gutierrez 1150, B1613GSX Los Polvorines, Argentina
 Instituto Argentino de Matemática, Saavedra 15 3° piso, C1083ACA Buenos Aires, Argentina

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 2 September 2008

Accepted 9 June 2009

Available online 9 July 2009

Submitted by R.A. Brualdi

AMS classification:

Primary: 47B15

Secondary: 47A30

Keywords:

Normal operators

Norm ideal

Corah

Porta and Recht inequality

ABSTRACT

In this work we characterize normal invertible operators via inequalities with unitarily invariant norm of elementary operators.

© 2009 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Let $(B(H), \|\cdot\|)$ be the C^* -algebra of all bounded linear operators on a complex Hilbert space H with the usual norm. We denote by $Gl(H)$ the group of invertible elements of $B(H)$ and by $U(H)$ the unitary operators.

In [8], Nakamoto proved that a bounded linear operator X on H is normal if and only if $\|XY - YX\|_2 = \|X^*Y - YX^*\|_2$ for every $Y \in B_2(H)$ (Hilbert–Schmidt class).

In [2], Corach et al. proved that if S is invertible and selfadjoint in $B(H)$, then for all $X \in B(H)$

$$\|SXS^{-1} + S^{-1}XS\| \geq 2\|X\|, \quad (1)$$

* Address: Instituto Argentino de Matemática, Saavedra 15 3° piso, C1083ACA Buenos Aires, Argentina.
 E-mail address: cconde@ungs.edu.ar

this inequality is called in the literature as CPR inequality. For sake of simplicity we denote by $\Phi_S(X) = SXS^{-1} + S^{-1}XS$. In [9], Seddik obtained the following characterization: an invertible S is a non zero complex multiple of some selfadjoint operator if and only if $\|\Phi_S(X)\| \geq 2\|X\|$ for all $X \in B(H)$, i.e. the author characterizes the operators S for which the CPR inequality holds.

In [5], Kittaneh proved that if R, S, X are operators in $B(H)$ such that R and S are invertible and \mathcal{I} is a norm ideal then

$$\|R^*XS^{-1} + R^{-1}XS^*\|_{\mathcal{I}} \geq 2\|X\|_{\mathcal{I}}. \quad (2)$$

The author proves this inequality as a consequence of the arithmetic–geometric mean inequality (for different proofs and several applications of this inequality the reader is referred to [1,4,7]) that states

$$\|AA^*X + XBB^*\|_{\mathcal{I}} \geq 2\|A^*XB\|_{\mathcal{I}}, \quad (3)$$

for every $A, B, X \in B(H)$.

If $S = R$ is an invertible selfadjoint operator in $B(H)$ the inequality (2) implies (1) in any normed ideal \mathcal{I} , more exactly: for all $X \in \mathcal{I}$ we have

$$2\|X\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leq \|\Phi_S(X)\|_{\mathcal{I}}. \quad (4)$$

In [6], the authors ask whether the same characterization obtained by Seddik is true for other unitarily invariant norm. They proved that S is necessarily a normal operator if $2\|X\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leq \|\Phi_S(X)\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ for all $X \in B(H)$, with rank one (see Corollary 2.2).

The objective of this work, motivated by Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.2 in [6], is to obtain a characterization of normal invertible operators in $B(H)$ (or some subclass of them) using unitarily invariant norms.

2. Preliminaries

We recall that \mathcal{I} is a norm ideal of $B(H)$ if \mathcal{I} is a two-sided ideal of $B(H)$ and a Banach space with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ satisfying:

1. $\|XTY\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leq \|X\|_{\mathcal{I}}\|T\|_{\mathcal{I}}\|Y\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ for $T \in \mathcal{I}$ and $X, Y \in B(H)$,
2. $\|X\|_{\mathcal{I}} = \|X\|$ if X is the rank one.

In particular, condition 1. implies that the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ is unitarily invariant, that is $\|UXV^*\|_{\mathcal{I}} = \|X\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ for $X \in \mathcal{I}$ and any $U, V \in U(H)$. If \mathcal{I} is a proper two-sided ideal of $B(H)$, it is well-known that $B_{0,0}(H) \subseteq \mathcal{I} \subseteq B_0(H)$, where $B_{0,0}(H)$ is the ideal of finite rank operators and $B_0(H)$ the set of compact operators.

The most known examples of norm ideals of $B(H)$ are the called p -Schatten class with $p \geq 1$ defined by $B_p(H) = \{X \in B_0(H) : \{s_j(X)\} \in \ell^p\}$, where $\{s_j(X)\}$ denotes the sequence of singular values of X , rearranged such that $s_1(X) \geq s_2(X) \geq \dots$ with multiplicities counted, with norm given by $\|X\|_p = (\sum s_j(X)^p)^{1/p}$. When $p = \infty$, the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ coincides with the usual norm $\|X\| = s_1(X)$. For a complete account of the theory of unitarily invariant norms the reader is referred to [3].

For sake of completeness, we recall the following statement of Magajna et al. in [6] that we will use in the following section.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $A, B \in B(H)$ be positive invertible operators. Then the inequality*

$$\|AXA^{-1}\| + \|B^{-1}XB\| \geq 2\|X\|, \quad (5)$$

holds for all operators $X \in B(H)$ of rank 1 if and only if $B = f(A)$, where $f : \sigma(A) \rightarrow \sigma(B)$ is a strictly increasing positive continuous function satisfying

$$\frac{f(s)}{t} \leq \frac{f(t) - f(s)}{t - s} \leq \frac{f(t)}{s}, \quad (6)$$

for all $s < t$ in the spectrum of A . In particular, for two unitarily equivalent operators A and B , (5) implies that $A = B$. Each function f satisfying (6) is differentiable at any interior point of $\sigma(A)$ and if $\sigma(A)$ is an interval, then f is of the form $f(t) = ct$ for some constant c .

Note that if $X \in \mathcal{I}$ is the rank 1, by condition 2. we get

$$\|AXA^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{I}} + \|B^{-1}XB\|_{\mathcal{I}} = \|AXA^{-1}\| + \|B^{-1}XB\|,$$

and if furthermore $A = B = S \in Gl(H)$ satisfies the inequality (4), we get

$$\|SXS^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{I}} + \|S^{-1}XS\|_{\mathcal{I}} \geq \|SXS^{-1} + S^{-1}XS\|_{\mathcal{I}} \geq 2\|X\|_{\mathcal{I}},$$

then by Corollary 2.2 in [6], S is necessarily a normal operator.

Now, we ask which are all invertible operators S that hold the inequality

$$\|SXS^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{I}} + \|S^{-1}XS\|_{\mathcal{I}} \geq 2\|X\|_{\mathcal{I}}$$

for every $X \in \mathcal{I}$.

3. Main results

Theorem 3.1. *Let $S \in Gl(H)$ and \mathcal{I} a norm ideal. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. S is normal,
2. $\|SXS^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{I}} + \|S^{-1}XS\|_{\mathcal{I}} = \|S^*XS^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{I}} + \|S^{-1}XS^*\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ for every $X \in \mathcal{I}$,
3. $\|SXS^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{I}} + \|S^{-1}XS\|_{\mathcal{I}} \geq \|S^*XS^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{I}} + \|S^{-1}XS^*\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ for every $X \in \mathcal{I}$,
4. $\|SXS^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{I}} + \|S^{-1}XS\|_{\mathcal{I}} \geq 2\|X\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ for every $X \in \mathcal{I}$,
5. $\|SXS^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{I}} + \|S^{-1}XS\|_{\mathcal{I}} \geq 2\|X\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ for every $X \in \mathcal{I}$, with rank 1.

Proof. 1. \Rightarrow 2. By hypothesis, the polar decomposition of S is $S = PU = UP$ with P positive and $U \in U(H)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|SXS^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{I}} + \|S^{-1}XS\|_{\mathcal{I}} &= \|UPXS^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{I}} + \|S^{-1}XPU\|_{\mathcal{I}} = \|PXS^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{I}} + \|S^{-1}XP\|_{\mathcal{I}} \\ &= \|U^*PXS^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{I}} + \|S^{-1}XPU^*\|_{\mathcal{I}} = \|S^*XS^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{I}} + \|S^{-1}XS^*\|_{\mathcal{I}}. \end{aligned}$$

2. \Rightarrow 3. The implication is trivial.

3. \Rightarrow 4. Let $X \in \mathcal{I}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|SXS^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{I}} + \|S^{-1}XS\|_{\mathcal{I}} &\geq \|S^*XS^{-1} + S^{-1}XS^*\|_{\mathcal{I}} = \|PU^*XP^{-1}U^* + P^{-1}U^*XPU^*\|_{\mathcal{I}} \\ &= \|PU^*XP^{-1} + P^{-1}U^*XP\|_{\mathcal{I}} = \|\Phi_P(U^*X)\|_{\mathcal{I}} \geq 2\|X\|_{\mathcal{I}} \end{aligned}$$

in the last inequality we use (4).

4. \Rightarrow 5. The implication is trivial.

5. \Rightarrow 1. We consider $X \in \mathcal{I}$ with rank 1, $A = (S^*S)^{1/2}$ and $B = (SS^*)^{1/2}$, then

$$\|AXA^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{I}} + \|B^{-1}XB\|_{\mathcal{I}} = \|UAXA^{-1}U^*\|_{\mathcal{I}} + \|U^*B^{-1}XBU\|_{\mathcal{I}} \geq 2\|X\|_{\mathcal{I}},$$

where $S = UA$ and $S^* = U^*B$. Since A and B are unitarily equivalent, we have by Theorem 2.1 in [6] that $A = B$, hence S is normal. \square

Remark 3.2. The previous theorem is a generalization of Proposition 5 in [10].

Specializing the previous theorem to the Hilbert–Schmidt class and using the Nakamoto’s characterization of normal operators we obtain the following statement.

Corollary 3.3. *If $S \in Gl(H)$ the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. S is normal,
2. $\|SXS^{-1}\|_2 + \|S^{-1}XS\|_2 = \|S^*XS^{-1}\|_2 + \|S^{-1}XS^*\|_2$ for every $X \in B_2(H)$,
3. $\|SXS^{-1}\|_2 + \|S^{-1}XS\|_2 \geq 2\|X\|_2$ for every $X \in B_2(H)$,

4. $\|SXS^{-1}\|_2 + \|S^{-1}XS\|_2 \geq 2\|X\|_2$ for every $X \in B_2(H)$, with rank 1,
5. $\|SX - XS\|_2 = \|S^*X - XS^*\|_2$ for every $X \in B_2(H)$.

Now, we shall characterize another subclass of normal operators. The following characterization of the nonzero complex multiple of selfadjoint operators is easily deduced (see [6], Theorem 2.5). More precisely,

Proposition 3.4. *Let $S \in Gl(H)$ and \mathcal{I} a norm ideal. Then the following conditions are equivalent*

1. γS is selfadjoint for some $\gamma \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$,
2. $\inf_{t>0} \|tSXS^{-1} + \frac{1}{t}S^{-1}XS\|_{\mathcal{I}} = \inf_{r>0} \|rS^*XS^{-1} + \frac{1}{r}S^{-1}XS^*\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ for every $X \in \mathcal{I}$,
3. $\inf_{t>0} \|tSXS^{-1} + \frac{1}{t}S^{-1}XS\|_{\mathcal{I}} \geq \inf_{r>0} \|rS^*XS^{-1} + \frac{1}{r}S^{-1}XS^*\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ for every $X \in \mathcal{I}$,
4. $\inf_{t>0} \|tSXS^{-1} + \frac{1}{t}S^{-1}XS\|_{\mathcal{I}} \geq 2\|X\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ for every $X \in \mathcal{I}$, with rank 1.

Proof. The implications 1. \Rightarrow 2. and 2. \Rightarrow 3. are trivial.

3. \Rightarrow 4. From the polar decomposition of $S = UP = QU$ with $P, Q > 0$ and $U \in U(H)$, we get for every $X \in \mathcal{I}$ with rank one

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{t>0} \|tSXS^{-1} + \frac{1}{t}S^{-1}XS\|_{\mathcal{I}} &\geq \inf_{r>0} \|rS^*XS^{-1} + \frac{1}{r}S^{-1}XS^*\|_{\mathcal{I}} \\ &= \inf_{r>0} \|rPU^*XP^{-1}U^* + \frac{1}{r}P^{-1}U^*XPU^*\|_{\mathcal{I}} \\ &= \inf_{r>0} \|rP(U^*X)P^{-1} + \frac{1}{r}P^{-1}(U^*X)P\|_{\mathcal{I}} \geq 2\|X\|_{\mathcal{I}} \end{aligned}$$

in the last inequality we use the inequality (2).

4. \Rightarrow 1. The implication follows of [6]. \square

References

- [1] R. Bhatia, CH. Davis, More matrix forms of the arithmetic–geometric mean inequality, *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* 14 (1993) 132–136.
- [2] G. Corach, H. Porta, L. Recht, An operator inequality, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 142 (1990) 153–158.
- [3] I. Gohberg, M. Krein, *Introduction to the Theory of Linear Nonselfadjoint Operators*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1969.
- [4] F. Kittaneh, A note on the arithmetic–geometric inequality for matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 171 (1992) 1–8.
- [5] F. Kittaneh, On some operator inequalities, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 208/209 (1994) 19–28.
- [6] B. Magajna, M. Petkovšek, A. Turnšek, An operator inequality and selfadjointness, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 377 (2004) 181–194.
- [7] A. McIntosh, *Heinz Inequalities and Perturbation of Spectral Families*, Macquarie Mathematical Reports, Macquarie Univ., 1979.
- [8] R. Nakamoto, A characterization of normal operators using the Hilbert–Schmidt class, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 79 (2) (1980) 343–344.
- [9] A. Seddik, On some operator norm inequalities, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 389 (2004) 183–187.
- [10] A. Seddik, On the injective norm and characterization of some subclasses of normal operators by inequalities or equalities, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 351 (2009) 277–284.